IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU**

**WRIT PETITION NO : 12098 of 2004**

Between:

G. Anji Reddy, S/o. Raghava Reddy,
R/O. Akkinapally Village, Narketpally Mandal, Nalgonda District.

**... PETITIONER**

AND

1 A.P. State Road Transport Corporation, Rep. by its Managing Director, Musheerabad, Hyderabad-20.
2 The Regional Manager, A.P.S.R.T.C., Nalgonda Region, Nalgonda.

**...RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to call for the records from the respondents and issue an appropriate Writ, order or Direction, particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring that the inaction of respondents in not communicating any order on the representations submitted by the petitioner as illegal, unjust, contrary to law, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India, and grant all consequential benefits

**Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.A.K.JAYAPRAKASH RAO, Advocate**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.: MR.K.HARINATH (SC for APSRTC)**

**The Court at the admission stage made the following Order:**

ORDER:

For the inaction of the respondents in not passing any order on the representation of the petitioner, the present writ petition is filed.

The case of the petitioner is that he is entitled for consideration of his case for regularization on par with his junior, Md. Yousuf Ali. For the said purpose the petitioner gave a representation dated 23.01.2004 and it is stated to be pending with the respondents.

Heard both the counsel. At the request of both the counsel the main writ petition is taken up for disposal.

The grievance of the petitioner is that he is entitled for regularization of his services with effect from the date from which his juniors were regularized i.e, 08.06.1981. Specifically for that purpose he made an application to the respondents but of no avail. Hence the present writ petition.

Whether he is entitled to be regularized with effect from 08.06.1981 or not on par with his juniors has to be decided by the respondents as per the regulations of the corporation. Therefore, a direction can be given to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner as per his representation and pass appropriate orders within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a

copy of this order.

The writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

(K.C. BHANU, J)

**July 15, 2004**

**Dsk**/Asr

To

1 A.P. State Road Transport Corporation, Rep. by its Managing Director, Musheerabad, Hyderabad-20.
2 The Regional Manager, A.P.S.R.T.C., Nalgonda Region, Nalgonda.

3 Two C.D. Copies